

# Studies on Novikov identities over the non-associative rings

S Supriya<sup>#1</sup>, Prof.R Bhuvana Vijaya<sup>\*2</sup>

<sup>#</sup>Research Scholar, Dept of Mathematics, JNTUA, Ananthapuram

<sup>\*</sup>Professor, Dept of Mathematics, JNTUA, Ananthapuram

<sup>1</sup>ecsupriya@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>bhuvanarachamalla@gmail.com

**Abstract** In this paper we studied about the Novikov identities over the non-associative rings with the assumption of 2-divisible and 3-divisible prime alternative rings and also verified whether A 2- divisible ring is prime alternative that satisfy weak identity of Novikov which can be either associative or  $N^2 = 0$ .

**Keywords**— Novikov identities, non-associative rings, prime alternative rings, divisible ring, weak identity

## INTRODUCTION

The study over the Novikov are carried out initially by Osborn, Smith and Kleinfeld. The Novikov identities are satisfied through the rings as follows:

- (i)  $a(bc) = b(ac)$
- (ii)  $(a,b,c) = (a,c,b)$  are known as Novikov rings.

The identities can be varied by substitution process with  $(ab)c=(ac)b$  and replacing with the identity of right alternative as  $(a,b,c) = -(a,c,b)$  or by the flexible identity  $(a,b,c) = 0$ . Kleinfeld [8] validated that a 2- divisible simple alternative ring which has no nilpotent elements other than zero has no proper divisors of zero, hence is either associative or an 8- dimensional Cayley - Dickson Algebra. A more general outcome is the usage of ring which is a prime alternative ring that can be associative or a subring of a Cayley - Dickson Algebra, but the proof is complicated.

Irvin Roy Hentzel et al., [3] studied a right alternative ring is a non-associative ring which satisfies the condition  $(xa) a = x (aa)$ , for all elements  $x$  and  $a$ . It is assumed that all rings will have a characteristics prime to 2 and 3.

The Artin theorem stated that a pair of elements present in an alternative ring that can constantly yield an associative sub ring. For proving the above statement, it is enough if we prove that a prime alternative ring which has no nilpotent elements other than zero has no proper divisors of zero. In the following section, a simple proof is presented result obtained through Kleinfeld [9].

S.V Pchelincev [6] has given an illustration of a right alternative right nilpotent ring with  $N$  is the subset of  $R^2$ , which was neither nilpotent nor alternative. M.Slinko [7] has provided a right alternative ring illustration with  $N$  is the subset of  $R^2$  that was neither an alternative nor left nilpotent.

## MAIN SECTION

The simple right alternative rings are studied by Kleinfeld and Smith [11] with the assumption that either commutators are in the nucleus or all squares  $x^2$  are in the nucleus in order to see that whether these rings are alternative or associative. For proving that for a simple ring of right alternative  $R$  with  $(ab)c = (ac)b$ , the squared value every element is found in the nucleus of ring  $R$  and can be proved as follows:

Consider the ring  $R$  to be a 2-divisible non-associative right alternative which satisfies the following expression as:

$$(ab)c = (ac)b.$$

$R$  is defined as simple if whenever  $I$  is considered as an  $R$  ideal then either  $I = 0$  or  $I = R$ .

In a right alternative ring, the following identities hold.

1.  $((w, x, (y, z) + wx. y. z) ) = (w, y, z) x + w (x, y, z)$
2.  $(w, x, yz) + (w,x), y, z) = (w, x, y) z + y( w, x, z )$
3.  $(x, x, x) = 0$

**Lemma -1:**

The set  $T$  can be defined as  $\{t \in R/Rt \text{ is } 0\}$  is a  $R$  ideal.

Proof : Apparently  $T$  is considered as a left ideal, because the value of  $RT$  is 0. Consider that  $t$  belongs to  $T$ ,  $R$  contains  $x, y$ . Then  $y(tx) = y(tx + xt) = (yt)x + (yx)t$ . But  $(yt)x + (yx)t = 0$ .

Thus  $y(tx) = 0$  and hence  $TR \in T$ . Hence  $T$  is an  $R$  ideal.

**Lemma-2:**

Whether  $R$  is 2- and 3- divisible prime right alternative ring,

$R$  is strongly  $(-1,1)$  or  $C=U$

The Proof : As  $R$  is primary, under lemma 1

Now,  $C = U$  for any 3- divisible other ring. Also, for  $(R, (R,R), V) = 0$ , then  $(R, R, V) \subset V$

If  $(R, R, V) \subseteq V$ , it gives  $((R, R), R) \subset K$ . Since  $R$  is prime, and  $((R, R), R) \subset K = 0$  or. If  $U \subset U_N$  and  $N = \text{constant}$ .

Therefore, repeatedly,  $R$  is powerfully  $(1,-1)$  or  $C=U$ , which satisfies and explains the proposed Lemma.

**Theorem-1:**

A 2- divisible ring is prime alternative that satisfy weak identity of Novikov which can be either associative or  $N^2 = 0$ ,

For the alternative ring

$$(y^2, x, z) = (x, z, y^2) = (x, z, y) y + y(x, z, y)$$

$$(x, z, y^2) = y(x, z, y).$$

With comparison

$$(x, z, y) y = 0, \text{ so that } (y, x, z) y = 0.$$

From the identity based on alternative ring

$$0 = (y, x, z) y = (x, y, z) y.$$

$y (y, x, z) = 0$ . we get

$$(y^2, x, z) = y(y, x, z) + (y, x, z) x = 0.$$

For all  $x^2$  belong to  $N$ , and  $x$  belong to  $R$ .

If  $a, b$  belong to  $R$ , then

$$(ab + ba) = (a+b)^2 - a^2 - b^2 \text{ belong to } N.$$

Let  $n', n$  belong to  $N$  and  $R$  contains  $x, y, z$ . Subsequently,  $(n(xn' + nx), z, y) = 0$ ,

so that  $(n(xn' + nx), z, y) = - (n'(xn), z, y)$ .

Similarly  $(n'(nx), z, y) = - (n(xn), z, y)$  and  $((nn)x, z, y) = - (x(nn), z, y)$ .

By combining these three equalities it follows that

$$((nn')x, y, z) = ((xn) n, y, z) = - ((nn')x, y, z)$$

This implies,  $2((nn')x, y, z) = 0$ . Then  $((nn')x, y, z) = 0$ , since  $R$  is 2-

divisible. We know that if  $n \in N$ ,

$$(nx, y, z) = n(x, y, z).$$

So that  $(x(nn'), z, y) = nn'(x, z, y) = 0$ , then  $N^2(R, R, R) = 0$ .

Let  $I$  be the  $N^2$  generated ideal, and  $J$  be the all associators  $(R, R, R)$  generated ideal. We note that

$$x(nn') = n(xn' + n'x) - (xn + nx) n + (nn)x, \text{ so that}$$

$$N^2R \text{ is the subset of } RN^2 + N^2.$$

Consequently  $I = RN^2 + N^2$  in an arbitrary ring

$$J = (R, R, R) R + (R, R, R).$$

It follows from  $N^2(R, R, R) = 0$ , that  $IJ = 0$ , Since  $R$  is prime either

$I=0$  or  $J=0$ . If  $I=0$ , then  $N^2=0$ . On the other hand if  $J=0$  then  $R$  is associative.

This completes the proof of Theorem.

**Lemma- 3:** Keep  $R$  as a 2- divisible prime correct substitute ring for  $(xy)z = (xz)y$ .

Whether  $R$  is a correct nilpotent then  $R$  is nilpotent.

Proof :

Here we use the property that  $x^2 \in V$  to prove the theorem. Clearly  $(V, R) = 0$

and  $xy + yx \in V$  imply it is model like  $R$ . Then  $R/V$  can be termed as correct variable and anti commutative. Hence  $R/V$  is alternative. But then  $R/V$  can be alternative and nilpotent imply [10] that  $R/U$  is nilpotent. Suppose  $R$  to be right nilpotent of index  $m$ . Thus,  $R$  is right and left nilpotent, hence nilpotent. The same explains the validity of the Lemma.

**Lemma -4:**

A 3- and 2- dividant prime alternative ring filling weak Novikov identity and  $(x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y)$  is said to be the center, can be Lie ring or associative.

Proof :

Let  $R$  be a 2- divisible prime alternative ring satisfying  $(x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y) \in N$ , to all the terms  $\in R$ . Suppose  $N * R$ , hence  $x^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in R$ . For any  $n \in N$ ,  $(nx)y = n(xy) = -x(ny) = (-xn)y = (xy)n = x(yn)$ ;  $-(xy)n = n(xy)$ , so that  $2n(xy) = 0$ .

This implies  $n(xy) = 0$ . Hence  $NR^2 = 0$ . Set  $W$  of all  $w \in R$  such that  $wR = 0$ . As  $NR$  belong to  $W$  we achieve primary  $NR = 0$ , for which  $N = 0$ . For all anti-commutative ring,  $(xy)z - x(yz) + (yz)x - y$

**Theorem-2:**

Keep  $R$  as 2-divisible major right alternative ring with  $(xy)z = (xz)y$ . If  $R$  is considered as true nilpotent then obviously  $R$  is nilpotent.

Proof :

W.K.T  $x^2$  belong to  $N$  and  $N$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Using this property we prove the theorem.

Now since in the right alternative ring  $R/N$  each  $x^2 = 0$ ,  $R/N$  is alternative. This means the right nilpotent ring  $R/N$  is in fact nilpotent. If  $o$  is expresses as the minimum natural number then  $R$  is said to be solvable of index  $m$ . Since right nilpotent implies solvable, we now prove the theorem by induction on  $m$ . If  $(U) = 0$ ,  $R = 0$ . Thus by inductive hypothesis we may assume  $R^2$  is said to be nil-potent, since  $R^2$  is correct nil-potent and has solvable index one less than  $R$ . Suppose that  $(R^2)^n = 0$ .

Since  $R/N$  is nilpotent,  $RL^*$ . The  $N$  is a model confined in  $N$ ,

hence for  $2n$  factors of  $R$ , it has  $R(R \dots R(RN) \dots) = R^2(R \dots R(RN) \dots) = \dots = R^2(R^2 \dots$

$R^2(R^2N) \dots) = (R^2)^2(R^2 \dots R^2(R^2N) \dots) = \dots = ((R^2)^2 R^2 \dots) R^2 N$  is the subset of  $(R^2)^o N = 0$ .

Hence  $R$  is left nilpotent. Again a correct substitute ring which is right and left nil-potent must be nil-potent. The above mentioned confirms the initiation and the validity of the theorem.

$(zx) + (zx)y - z(xy) + (x,y,z) + (y,z,x) + (z,x,y) = 2((xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y)$  which matches double the Jacobian of  $x,y,z$  respectively. Hence because of (ii), we conclude the Jacobi identity holds and also  $R$  will be Lie ring.

Let  $R$  be a 2- dividable principal alternative ring

Filling feeble Novikov identity,  $y(w,x,z) = (t,x,yz)$  Then either  $R$  is  $r^2 = 0$ , for all  $r \in R$ .

The Proof : From above lemma  $r^2 \in N$  under  $r \in R$ . We can take the instance  $N * R$  and hence it satisfies the lemma that  $N_2 = 0$ .

Let  $K = NR + N$ .

Since  $rn = (rn + nr) - nr \in K$  and;

$(sn)r = (sn + ns)r - (ns)r \in K$ , for all  $n \in N$  and  $r,s \in R$ ,  $K$  need to be satisfying  $R$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

Also for  $n \in N$ ,  $n(rn') = n(rn1 + n'r) - n(n'r) = 0$ , because  $N^2 = 0$ .

Which implies  $K^2 = 0$ .

Also  $K = 0$  and  $R$  is primary. Yet  $N = 0$ , therefore,  $r^2 = 0$ , to all  $r \in R$ . The above explanation validates the theorem and proves it.

References:

1. Joyce, D. (2008). Introduction to modern algebra. Clark University, Version 0.0, 6.
2. Priestley, H. A. Introduction to Groups, Rings and Fields.

3. Ashraf, M., Ali, S., & Haetinger, C. (2006). On derivations in rings and their applications. *Aligarh Bull. Math*, 25(2), 79-107.
4. I. R. Hentzel, Simple  $(-1, 1)$  ring is associative and that any Prime  $(-1, 1)$  ring is either associative or satisfies  $[[x, y], z] = 0$ , *J. Algebra*. 149 (1992), 528-537.
5. S. V. Pchelintsev, On central ideals of finitely generated binary  $(-1, 1)$ -algebras, *Mat.Sb.* 193(4) (2002), 113-134.
6. Posner, E.C., "Derivations in prime rings" , *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.*8 ( 1958) 1093-1100.
7. Golbasi,O.,Kaya,K. "On Lie ideals with generalized derivations",*Siberian Mathematical Journal*,Vol.47,No.5,2006,862-866.
8. Kleinfeld, E. (1952). An extension of the theorem on alternative division rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 3(3), 348-351.
9. Kleinfeld, E. (1993). Prime alternative rings without nilpotent elements revised *Houston journal of mathematics*,19(2),325-326.
10. Ashraf, M., Ali, S., Rehman, N. and Mozumder, M. R. "On Generalized left  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - Derivations In Rings", *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society* 38(4) ( 2012) 893-905
11. Kleinfeld, E., & Smith, H. F. (1995). Semiprime flexible weakly Novikov rings are associative. *Communications in Algebra*, 23(13), 5077-5083.