Benefits of CRM and Drivers of Customer Loyalty in Commercial Banks - A study with reference to Bengaluru Urban

Shankara S. L.
Asst. Professor & HOD
Department of Commerce & Management
Govt. First grade college, Bannur,
T.Narasipura Tq, Mysore Dist,
Karnataka 571101
Mobile: 9449336158

E-Mail: shankara.lava@gmail.com

Abstract:

Banking industry is facing at present an unprecedented tough competition. The new generation banks are capitalising short opportunities in the market through offering a multiple number of innovative services. A positive interaction among employees, customers and venders will create a successful enterprises. Contact between customer and bank is essential in order to understand the likes and dislikes of customer. Retention of customers enhances the profitability of the banks and hence banks fearing tough competition should stress on retention of customers.

Banks find difficulties to find new customers and customers going for another better bank can be stopped through offering better services. In the competitive environment retention of customers is vital and retention of customers is achieved with the help of CRM. Further, the banks should upgrade their products, improve customer service and create bands of trust through proper understanding of customer needs which can be attained only through CRM. Banks in these days of economic sluggishness never share confidental details of bank with customers and otherwise the frauds may multiple and cause difficulties.

Keywords: Needs, Retention, sluggishness, frauds, competition, loyalty.

Introduction

Customer Relationship Management (CRM) refers to the establishment of better customer and organisation relationship. It is the establishment, development, maintenance and organisation of long term mutually valuable relationships between customers and banks. As a strategy CRM aims at understanding what customer needs and requirements. Business houses today have realised the valuable significance of CRM and its potentiality in acquiring new customers. Indian banking industry today is undergoing a sea of change and fastly moving towards "mass banking". In order to make profits, banks both private and public are compelled to follow a more pragmatic approach in order to do business and to get more profits. CRM is one such tool which enables the banks to get more profits through offering better service and meeting customers expectations. It is found that private banks are employing better CRM practices than public sector banks which explains that private sector banks are more innovative in grasping customers requirements which builds reputation.

CRM refers to a comprehensive approach for creating maintaining and expanding relationship. CRM offers better coordination between customer service, marketing, IT and other related functions. CRM offers innumerable benefits to customers, bankers and employees. Satisfied customer becomes a loyal customer to the bank. Therefore banks should understand the drivers of customers loyalty and consider them while rendering service to the customers.

Review of Literature

Zakaria Ahmed Mohammed Azzam (2014) expressed that customer satisfaction being dependent variable is influenced by CRM practices. These CRM practices includes service quality, behaviour of employees, customer database, problem solving approach, physical environment and social network interaction.

Bhattacharya (2011) stated that CRM is implemented in an organisation to reduce cost and increase company performance, which means profitability result through customer satisfaction and loyalty.

Faed (2010) highlighted that CRM amplifies the relationships of customers and competitors in a firm to enhance the share of organisation in market place by integration of technology, procedure and people.

Wang and Lo (2014) stated that functional behaviour of customers has positive impact on customer satisfaction and customer satisfaction has positive effect on customer behaviour based on CRM elements.

Objectives of the study

- 1) To analyse benefits of CRM to the customers
- 2) To analyse benefits of CRM to employees
- 3) To analyse the benefits of CRM to banks.
- 4) To study the drivers of customers loyalty.

Hypotheses

- 1) There are no benefits from CRM to customer.
- 2) There are no benefits from CRM to emploees.
- 3) There are no benefits from CRM to banks.
- 4) There exist no drivers of customers loyalty.

Research Methodology

Quantitative approach is followed in the present study as suggested by Youch and Steudal (2013). According to Sekaran and Bougie (2010) an extremley through and careful methodology increases where a researchers moves towards a hypotheses testing study from an explorative research. The present study is carried with the objective of testing the stated hypotheses and probe the hypothetical association among dependent and independent variable. Data is collected on a natural background when customers fucntioning in a bank. This type of research is nicknamed as cross sectional i.e., constituting a typical or similar or representative sample of a large group (Cavana et al. 2001)

Data Collection, sample and sampling technique

The present study covers both primary and secondary data. Primary data is collected through a questionnaire which is administered as schedule. Secondary sources includes e-journals, books and websites. The sampling technique being convenient sampling technique and researcher approched different customers in the bank.

Sample and Sample table

Bill Goodens sample formula when population exceeds 50,000 is considered and accordingly sample taken in the present study is 600.

Using the formula suggested by Bill Godden the sample of the study was decided. SS = infinite where population is > 50,000

 $SS = Z2 \times (P) \times (i-p)/c2$

Z = Z valueA (e.g. 1.96 for a confidence level)

P = Percentage of population picking a choice, expressed as decimalB.

C = Confidence interval, expressed as decimal.

(e.g. $0.04 = \pm 4$ percentage points)

AZ values (Cumulative Normal Probability Table)

1.645 = 90% Confidence level

1.96 = 95% Confidence level

2.576 = 99% Confidence level

 $SS = 3.8416 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 / 0.0016 = 0.9604 / 0.0016$

= 600.25 or 600.

Sample table

Sample Table	No. of respondents	
Government Employees	145	
Private Employees	250	
Businessmen	80	
Self Employed	40	
Professionals	35	
Housewife	50	
Total	600	

Questionnaire design

The present study is carried out with the help of well drafted pre-tested questionnaire. In order to avoid non-response and delay the prsent study considers questionnaire to be administered as schedule. Respnodents were sincerely appealed to provide data on benefits and drivers of customers loyalty. Likert 3 point scale is used to present the bipolar opinions of customers.

Statistical tools used

ANOVA statistical tool is performed in order to measure the variation in the data and good fit of the data.

Limitatinos of the study

- 1) The study is confined only to Bengaluru Urban.
- 2) Any generalisation requires further depth study.
- 3) The sample taken seems to be small and a detailed study requires a large sample.

Survey findings

Table - 1 highlights data about CRM benefits to customers. These benefits vary from professional approach to customer contact with customer up-to-date information to CRM brings more affiliation. Analysis of data reveals that out of 600 sample respondents 360 expressed strongly agree followed by 200 agree, and 40 less agree. Out of 360 respondents who said strongly agree, 80 said about more empowerment when they acces more products of services followed by 78 expressed about more affiliation, 75 said with professional approach banks can do more personalised service, 65 stated with up-to-data customer information banks can do more personalised service and 62 felt about targeted products and services can be timed to concide with customer service events. Out of 200 who said agree, 45 said about professional approach makes the banks to do more personalised service, 43 stated feeling of empowerment, 42 spoke about more affiliation, 38 voiced about doing of more service with up-to-date information, and 32 stated about matching the new products with time and requirement of

customers. Out of 40 who said less agree, a majority of 10 said about matching the products with time and requirements of customers, 9 said about accessing more products is possible with CRM 8 expressed about more affiliating. ANOVA fails to accept H0 and accepts H1. Here it s concluded that there exists significant variation in the data.

Table - 2 reveals data about benefits to employees. variation analysis of data reveals that 350 respondents said strongly agree followed by 220 agree and 30 less agree. Out of 350 who said strongly agree, 75 each said about employees deliver better service when they are empowered and exhibits more commitment, 68 spoke about more time available to serve customers, 67 stated high satisfaction rate and 65 said about enhancement of productivity rates. Out of 220 who said agree, 49 said about empowerment and delivery of service to the customers expectation. 47 stated about more commitment, 46 said about enhancement of of enhancement of productivity rates, and 38 spoke about higher satisfaction rates. Out of 30 who said less agree a majority of 7 each said about higher satisfaction rates and more commitment, 6 each said about empowerment and meeting the customers expectations and enhancement of productivity rates. ANOVA fails to accept H0 and accepts H1 and hence it is concluded here that there exist significant variation in the data.

Table - 3 reveals data on CRM practices and benefits to the banks. Out of 600 respondents 350 said strongly agree followed by 210 agree and 40 less agree. Out of 350 respondents who said strongly agree, 74 stated about CRM practices helps in capitalising short windows of opportunities in the market, 72 said about establishes better customer relationship. 70 spoke about enhancing customer loylaty, 68 said about optimum use of researchers and 66 felt about enhanced customer acquisition and cross selling. Out of 210 who said agree 46 said about more acquisition of customers and cross selling, 45 spoke about establishes better customer relationship, 41 felt about capitalising short windows of opportunities in the market, 40 said about enhancement of customer loyalty and 38 expressed about optimum use of resources. Out of 40 who said less agree, a majority of 10 said about establishment of better relationship. 8 each spoke about optimum use of resources and CRM helps in capitalising short windows of opportunities in the market, and 7 each stated about CRM enhances customer loyalty and satisfaction and 7 about acquisition of customers and cross selling. ANOVA statistical tool fails to accept H0 and accepts H1 and hence it is concluded here that there exist significant variation in the data.

Table-4 highlights data about CRM and drivers of customers loyalty. Loyalty drivers varies from positive staff attitude to existence of productive advice and delivery of promised service. Analysis of variation reveals that there are 360 respondents out of 600 expressing strongly agree followed by 210 agree, and 30 less agree. Out of 360 who said strongly agree, 71 said about positive staff attitude, 63 stated regarding better delivery of service to the customers.

60 expressed about efficient complaing resolution, 59 viewed about existence of productive advice and delivery of promised service, 55 felt about honesty, integrity and reliability, and 52 viewed about simplicity and easiness of doing business. Out of 210 who said agree, 40 each said about positive staff attitude and honesty, integrity and reliability, 35 felt about simplicity and easiness of doing business, 34 said about efficient complaint resolution system, 32 spoke about existence of productive advice and delivery of promised service. Out of 30 who said less agree a majority of 7 said about honesty, integrity and reliability, 6 each about better delivery of service and existence of productive advice and delivery of promised service and 4 each said about positive staff attitude and simplicity and easiness of doing business. ANOVA fails to accept H0 and accepts H1 and hence it is concluded here that there exists a significant variation in the data.

Conclusion

Bengaluru is nicknamed as 'silicon valley', 'IT hub' and center of garment units and innumerable public, private foreign banks and new generation banks are working and facing some competition in among the banks. The new generation banks and a few old private banks are offering innovative products to suit the needs of customers. CRM is a potential strategy that thelps them to acquire new customers and to retain old customers. The establishment of better relationship and better coordination between IT and marketing departments may improvise profitability of the banks. The changed weather across the globe insisting on environment and necessary preparation to reduce global warming, protection of environment and consquences has to be studied carefully by the banks before launcing any CRM practice.

References

Bhattacharya, A. (2011). Preeminent analysis of CRM. **International Journal of Research in Management and Technology, 1(1), 45-51.**

Cavana, Roberty, Pelayhe, Braim, Lo., and Sekaran, U. (2001). **Applied business research: Quantitative and qualitative methods.** http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/41166134 **International journal of business management** 3(10), 133-140.

Faed. A. (2010). A conceptual framework for E-loyalty in digital business environment, 41 EEE Debt, 547-552.

Sekaran, U., and Bougie, K. (2010). **Research methods for business. A skill building approach wiley: London**

Wang Y., Lo., G. P. (2014). An integrated framework for customer value and customer relationship management performance: A customer based perspective from China. **Managing Service Quelts,** 14(2/3), 169-182. John Wiley and Sans, Milton, Queensland, ISSN-10-0471341266.

Zakaria Ahmed Mohammed Azzam. (2014) Independent variable influencing dependent variable, **European Journal of Business Management**, 6(32). P. 106.

Table - 1 : CRM practices and Benefits to customers

Benefits to customers	SA	Α	LS	Т	
Coordinated and professional approach to customer					
contact	75	45	7	127	
With up-to-date customer information, banks can do					
more personalised service	65	38	6	109	
Customers feel empowered when they access more					
products and service.	80	43	9	132	
Targeted product & service offerings can be timed to					
coincide with customer service events and requirements	62	32	10	104	
When better CRM exists customers show more affiliatio	n 78	42	8	128	
Total	360	200	40	600	

Source: Field Survey

Hypotheses

H0 There is no significant variation in the data Reject

H1 There is sign	nificant v	aritaion in the	data	Ac	ccept	
ANOVA Table						
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F-ratio	5% F Limit	
					(From F Table)	
Between the sample	10240	(3-1)=2	10240/2	5120/		
			=5120	31.17		
				=164.26		
Within the sample	374	(15-3)=12	374/12		(2,12)	
			=31.17		=3.88	
Total	10.614	(15-1)=14				

Source: Field Survey

ANOVA analysis: The calculated value being 164.26 higher than the TV = 3.88 @ df V1 = 2 and V2 = 12 fails to accept H0 and accepts H1. Therefore it is concluded here that there exist significant variation in the data.

Table - 2: CRM practices and Benefits to employees

Benefits to employees	SA	Α	LA	Т	
Employees deliver high quality service when they					
are empowered and meet customer expectation	75	49	6	130	
Employees have more time to serve customers	68	40	4	112	
Employees have higher satisfaction ratings	67	38	7	112	
Employees productivity rates enhances	65	46	6	117	
Employees exhibit more commitment	75	47	7	129	
Total	350	220	30	600	

Source: Field Survey

Hypotheses

H0	There is no significant variation in the data	Reject	
<u>H1</u>	There is significant varitaion in the data	Accept	

ANOVA Table						
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F-ratio	5% F Limit	
					(From F Table)	
Between the sample	10360	(3-1)=2	10360/2	5180/		
			=5180	15.3333		
				=337.82		
Within the sample	184	(15-3)=12	184/12		(2,12)	
·			=15.3333		=3.88	

Source: Field Survey

Total

ANOVA analysis: The calculated value being 337.82 higher than the TV = 3.88 @ df V1 = 2 and V2 = 12 fails to accept H0 and accepts H1. Therefore it is concluded here that there exist significant variation in the data.

Table - 3: CRM practices and Benefits to the banks

10,544 (15-1)=14

Benefits to the banks	SA	Α	LA	Т	
Manages with information can establish better					
relationships and make better decisions	72	45	10	127	
Optimum use of resources	68	38	8	114	

Enhanced customer satisfaction and loyalty					40	7	117	
Enhanced custome	r acquisit	ion and cross	s selling	66	46	7	119	
Helps in capitalising	g short wi	ndows of	_					
opportunitis in the n	narket			74	41	8	123	
Total				350	210	40	600	
Source: Field Surve	ЭУ							
Hypotheses								
	significar	nt variation in	the data		Rejec	t		
H1 There is sign	nificant va	aritaion in the	data		Accep	ot		
ANOVA Table								
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F-ratio		5% F Li	mit	
Source of variation	33	ui	IVIO	r-iallo		From F T		
Between the sample	10360	(3-1)=2	10360/2	5180/		101111 1	шо.о,	
		(5 1) =	=5180	9.3333	3			
				=555.0	0			
Within the sample	112	(15-3)=12	112/12			(2,12))	
		,	=9.3333			=3.88	3	
Total	10472	(15-1)=14						·
Courses Field Curvey								

Source: Field Survey

ANOVA analysis : The calculated value being 555.00 higher than the TV = 3.88 @ df V1 = 2 and V2 = 12 fails to accept H0 and accepts H1. Therefore it is concluded here that there exist significant variation in the data.

Table - 4: CRM & Drivers of customers lovalty

Table - 4 . Chill & Drivers of Customers loyalty					
Loyalty drivers	SA	Α	LA	Т	
Positive staff attitude	71	40	4	115	
Efficient complaint resolution system	60	34	3	97	
Better delivery of service to the customer	63	32	6	101	
Honesty, integrity and reliability	55	40	7	102	
Simplicity and easiness of doing business	52	35	4	91	
Existence of productive advice and delivery if promised	59	29	6	94	
Total	360	210	30	600	

Source: Field Survey

Hy	po	th	es	es
----	----	----	----	----

H0	There is no significant variation in the data	Reject	
<u>H1</u>	There is significant varitaion in the data	Accept	

ΑN	O	VA	Ta	ble

ANOVA Table						
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F-ratio	5% F Limit	
					(From F Table)	
Between the sample 9100.0401		(3-1)=2	9100.0401/2	4550.02/		
·		, ,	=4550.0200	16.3		
				=279.14		
Within the sample	203.000	(18-3)=15	243/15		(2,15)	
•			=16.3		=3.68	
Total	9343.0401	(18-1)=17				

Source: Field Survey

ANOVA analysis : The calculated value being 279.14 higher than the TV = 3.68 @ df V1 = 2 and V2 = 15 fails to accept H0 and accepts H1. Therefore it is concluded here that there exist significant variation in the data.

REFERENCES

- Shanthi and DestiKannaiah (2015). Consumers' Perception on Online Shopping. Journal of Marketing and Consumer Research, An International Peer-reviewed Journal, Vol. 13, pp. 14-20.
- Dr. D.Paul Dhinakaran, "Community Relations Of Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation Ltd" International Journal Of Research And Analytical Reviews (E ISSN 2348-1269, print ISSN 2349-5138) Special Issue March 2019.
- Korina C. Pinca and Legaspi (2015). Online Shopping Behavior of Students in the College of Business Administration: Basis for Enriching Content of the Subject Matter. *International Journal of Education and Research*, January, Vol.3 (1), pp.333-348.
- Renuka Sharma, Kiran Mehta, Shashank Sharma (2014). Understanding Online Shopping Behaviour of Indian Shoppers. International Journal of Management & Business Studies, Vol. 4, Issue. 3, pp.9-18.
- Shraddha Sharma and Manish Sitlani (2013). Online Shopping Among Higher Education Students in Indore: A Factor Analysis Approach. *Indian Journal of Marketing*, January, Vol.43 (1), pp.44-53.
- D.Paul Dhinakaran, "Passengers' Perception towards Service Quality in Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Kumbakonam) Limited, Kumbakonam" Asia Pacific Journal of Research, (ISSN: 2320-5504,) Volume I, Issue XIII, January 2014, P.170-181.
- RakshitaPuranik and Alok Bansal (2014). A Study of Internet Users' Perception towards Eshopping. Pacific Business Review International, Vol.6, Issue.9, pp.37-44.